Pending the consideration whereof, On motion of Mr. Sanner.

A call of the House was ordered.

Present at the call of the roll, the following members:

Messrs. Latrobe, (Speaker,) Beck, Wilmer, Baldwin, of Anne Arundel, Wells, Duke, Chapman, Gatch, Hammond, Lankford, Harrington, Woolford, Touchstone, Owens, Richards, Biddle, Marbury, Thomas, of Queen Anne's, Brown, Dennis, Purnell, Thomas, of Frederick, Bowlus, Ritter, White, Harris, Ady, Streett, Hopkins, Hardcastle, of Caroline, Garey, Sanner, Hamilton, Cooper, Blake, McLane, Colton, Kirk, Hoblitzell, Neill, Murdock, Sword, Seibert, Veitch, Watkins, Kean, Wilson, of Allegany, Standish, Myers, Shower, Jordan, Winters, Merrick, Gorman, Crawford, Gordy—56.

On motion of Mr. Gorman, all further proceedings under the call were dispensed with.

Mr. McLane moved the previous question.

The question then being, "Shall the previous question be now put?"

It was decided in the affirmative.

The question then recurring upon concurring in the motion submitted by Mr. Gorman,

On motion of Mr. Marbury,

The yeas and nays were called and appeared, as follows:

## AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Purnell,	Sword,
Wilmer,		Veitch,
Hammond,	Hopkins,	Watkins.
Lankford,		
Woolford,	Garey,	Shower,
Owens,	Wiley,	Merrick,
Biddle,	McLane,	Gorman,
Thomas, of Q. A.,	Colton,	Crawford,
Brown,		Gordy-28,
Dennis,	Neill,	
	Man and and	

## NEGATIVE.

1. 16. 514.76	
	Cooper,
Marbury,	Blake,
Thomas, of Fred	Kirk,
Bowlus, district	Murdock,
Ritter,	Seibert,
	Marbury, Thomas, of Fred., Bowlus,